

Summary Checklist for Reviewing Rail Transit Agency Accident/Incident Investigation Reports and Supporting Documentation

	Y OVERSIGHT PROGRAM RTA INVESTIGATION REPORTS
Rail Transit Agency:	Date Submitted:
Investigation Report #	Reviewer(s):
Event Date/Description:	
Investigation Report Summary:	Comments:
 Facts/Sequence of Events Location of reportable event and/or hazard. Injuries to persons. Other damage. Operator information. Train information, including vehicle number and method of operation. Primary investigator. Weather conditions. Other environmental factors. Fire. Tests and research. Reporting requirements (SSO, FTA, FRA, NTSB). 	
 Other information. Analysis Are analytic methods and results identified? Does analysis support inferences and guide judgment by validity, consistency, and logic? Have facts, conditions, circumstances and inferences been properly reviewed and evaluated? Were people, procedures, equipment, facilities, and environmental factors considered in the analysis? Was root cause analysis employed in the post-accident investigation? 	
 Recommendations Are they feasible and supported by findings? Are they itemized/specific enough to facilitate corrective actions? 	

Appendix J: Checklist for Reviewing Rail Transit Agency Accident/Incident Investigation Reports and Supporting Documentation



Recommendations (continued)						
 Are they directed toward correcting a 						
particular area and assigned to specific						
individuals and /or departments for action?						
 Do they establish specific target dates on a 						
schedule for implementation or completion?						
Appendices						
 Supporting documentation. 						
 Drawings, photographs. 						
 Interviewers. 						
• Other (specify)						
This Investigation Report is:						
Approved.						
Comments/Requirements:						
Reviewed By:	Date:					
Approved By:	Date:					



RE	EVIEWER:	DATE:				
NO.	CHECKLIST	SSPS REQUIREMENTS	INC	LUDED	PAGE	COMMENTS
			Υ	N	REF.	
1	Notification	 Did the RTA notify DRPT (SSO) of the accident within the required two-hour time frame? Was the RTA Initial Notification complete, and did it address the required points of information? Were the RTA's internal notification process appropriately 				
		applied, ensuring that all RTA personnel who needed to be informed of the accident were notified?				
2	Initial Response	 Upon notification of an accident, did supervisory personnel respond to the scene and establish the RTA's on-site Incident Management Organization or Incident Command (IC)? Did the responsible modal supervisory personnel coordinate appropriately with the on-site Incident Command established by outside emergency responders and become a resource to the emergency responder Incident Commander? Did the RTA implement its Emergency Operations Plan and/or emergency response procedures to manage the accident? 				
3	Investigator in Charge	 Did the RTA designate an Investigator in Charge (IIC) to conduct the investigation in accordance with the RTA's SSPP and Accident/Incident Investigation Procedure? Upon notification of an accident/ incident meeting RTA investigation thresholds, did the IIC respond to the scene in a timely manner? While on-scene, did the IIC have sufficient authority to initiate, coordinate, and conduct an independent on-site investigation of the accident? Did the RTA support the IIC with an accident investigation team? Upon arriving on the scene, did the IIC serve as the point 				



RE	EVIEWER:	DATE:								
NO.	CHECKLIST	SSPS REQUIREMENTS	INCLUDED		INCLUDED		INCLUDED		PAGE	COMMENTS
			Υ	N	REF.					
		of contact/communication with any responding regulatory agency, including the DRPT (SSO)?								
4	Accident Scene	 If not in conflict with any authority having jurisdiction, did the IIC secure the scene in order to preserve site conditions and evidence to ensure accurate data development? Did the IIC follow the RTA's designed procedure for securing the scene? Did the IIC coordinate with the RTA on-scene response to obtain, as technical assistance/expertise in conducting required post accident/incident assessments of vehicles, infrastructures, physical plant, and/or equipment? What specific technical assistance/expertise did the IIC request at the scene from RTA personnel? Examples of technical assistance/expertise may include inspection, testing, and operational assessment of the following: signals, track, power, communications, vehicles, and equipment. If the IIC requested technical assistance/expertise, did the IC ensure that the required technical assets were available and deployed to the scene in a timely manner? Did the IIC meet the objectives when initially responding to an accident scene? Objective specified in RTA procedures include: securing the scene to ensure safety and prevent a second accident, preserving short term and long term physical evidence, developing a preliminary sequence of events to determine what happened, and identifying employees, passengers, and other eyewitnesses to obtain preliminary statements and contact information. 								



RE	EVIEWER:	DATE:				
NO.	CHECKLIST	SSPS REQUIREMENTS	INC	LUDED	PAGE	COMMENTS
			Υ	N	REF.	
		Did the RTA response effectively ensure that short-term information, which becomes quickly perishable as an accident scene is recovered (e.g. equipment or obstructions are moved or re-arranged, equipment controls are repositioned, witnesses: disappear, etc.), document to the greatest extent possible?				
5	Accident Photography	Upon arrival at the accident scene, did the IIC arrange to have the scene photographed as soon as possible from a "panoramic" view, preferably before accident scene restoration?				
		 Did the panorama view include photographic shots of the involved vehicle(s) in full view, nearby infrastructures features, and any evident significant obstructions, objects, or conditions? 				
		 Were accident scene photographs taken using "4 point compass" method? 				
		Was the entire scene photographed from multiple vantage points?				
		Did the photographer attempt to provide sufficient depth- of-field to show relative positioning of objects and subjects for later comparison with diagrams?				
		 Did the photographer arrange to have specific objects or subjects photographed as soon as possible from both normal periphery and close-up vies, preferably before accident scene restoration? 				
		Did the photographer capture appropriate depth-of –field to record subject material?				
		Did the photographer include: (1) Each vehicle involved, exterior four sides, including number, (2) each vehicle				



RE	VIEWER:	DATE:				
NO.	CHECKLIST	SSPS REQUIREMENTS	INC	LUDED	PAGE	COMMENTS
			Υ	N	REF.	
		involved, interior compartment, (3) each vehicle involved operating control compartment, (4) resting position of wheels if off track, including evidence of sanding, (5) all visible points of vehicle damage, (6) evidence of wheel marks on rail, (7) all visible points of infrastructure damage, (8) any visibly evident contributing obstructions, objects, or conditions, (9) position of casualties, is stationary, and (10) any other subject that appeared out of the ordinary?				
6	Initial Documentation:	 Was initial documentation prepared for the investigation, including: (1) the location of the accident, (2) the date of occurrence, (3) the time of occurrence, (4) the time of arrival of IIC, supervisory staff, and responders, (5) the visibility conditions at the scene (dawn, day, dusk, dark), (6) the weather at the scene (clear, cloudy, rainy, foggy, snowing, sleeting), and (7) the approximate temperature at the scene? Was eyewitness information obtained at the scene as quickly as possible? Information should include: (1) witness name, address, telephone number, (2) witness category (employee, passenger, bystander), (3) status of witness (observer or principal involved in accident) and (4) brief description or account of what was or was not observed. Was the damage and condition of the vehicle(s) documented appropriately, including monetary damage estimates? Elements to consider may include: (1) car body condition (visible damage), (2) positions of all operator controls (Controller & brake handles, headlight and other switches, air gauge readings, etc.), (3) wheels, axles, trucks, and/or sanders, (4) brake systems – friction, 				



RE	EVIEWER:	DATE:						
NO.	CHECKLIST	SSPS REQUIREMENTS	INCLUDED		INCLUDED		PAGE	COMMENTS
			Υ	N	REF.			
		 electric (dynamic), track, (5) door positions or other entry/exit location conditions, and (6) headlights, marker lights, indicator lights status. Was evidence appropriately documented relative to vehicle travel/speed to include as a minimum, the following: (1) ensure event log data (where in service) is secured, (2) identify wheel marks on track, (3) identify evidence of sanding, (4) identify evidence indicating area of contact/collision, (4) determine line-of-sight distances, and (6) ensure arrangement to secure recorded communication data? Were damage to and condition of the infrastructure and environmental conditions appropriately documented, including a monetary damage estimate? Items to consider include the following: (1) damage (observable) to track, signals, bridges, structures, buildings, other infrastructure equipment or machinery, (2) damage (observable) to crossing protection apparatus, if relevant, (3) roadway approached, visible pedestrian approaches (unauthorized or otherwise), if relevant, (4) evidence (observable) of recent environmental alteration (vandalism), and (6) point of derailment, collision, or other incident. 						
7	Sketch of Accident Scene	 Was the scene sketched, as appropriate, regarding the relative location of track(s), vehicle(s), signals, equipment, apparatus, buildings, bridges, other structures? Did it include noteworthy landmark features, such as roadways, waterways, pathways, flora etc.? Was the diagram alignment relative to geographic north? 						
		 Were points of reference indelibly marked in the field (e.g. paint or chalk markings)? 						



RE	EVIEWER:	DATE:								
NO.	CHECKLIST	SSPS REQUIREMENTS	INCLUDED		INCLUDED		INCLUDED		PAGE	COMMENTS
			Υ	N	REF.					
		 Was correlation of points of reference documented with regard to resting positions of objects or subjects? Was the standard unit of measurement in "feet"? 								
8	Casualty Information	 Was the status of all known casualties documented? Did this include: (1) total number and nature of injuries, (2) total number of fatalities, (3) identification of emergency response units that treated or transported casualties, and (4) identification of hospitals where casualties were transported? 								
9	Drug and Alcohol Testing	 The RTA is mandated by 49 CFR Part 655, "Prevention of Alcohol Misuse and Prohibited Drug Use in Transit Operations," to conduct toxicological testing based upon regulatory requirements, collective bargaining agreements, or standard policy. Did RTA field supervisory personnel make appropriate determinations regarding which employees, if any, were subject to testing based upon the criteria? Did the RTA identify the authorization to conduct the test, and the type of test that was required? Authorization and type includes the following: (1) FTA (For Cause, Post Accident), (2) FRA (For Cause, Post Accident), (3) RTA (For Cause, Post Accident), and (4) Local or Regional Police. 								
10	Off – Scene Accident Investigation	Once the accident scene had been recovered, did the RTA IIC pursue the three objectives specified in RTA procedures for accident investigation data development: (1) to collect remaining applicable non-perishable data, (2)								



RI	EVIEWER:	DATE:																																							
NO.	CHECKLIST	SSPS REQUIREMENTS	INCLUDED		INCLUDED		INCLUDED		INCL	INCLUDED		INCLUDED	INCLUDED		COMMENTS																										
			Υ	N	REF.																																				
		to conduct interim research and analysis of all collected data to date to reconstruct the event, and (3) to determine probable cause and contributing factors? In the aftermath of an accident, long-term information that is non-perishable must be collected (e.g. operational speeds and conditions, maintenance and inspection records, damage estimates, etc.) The primary task of off-site data collection is to coordinate documentation to support evaluation of system, vehicle, and employee performance. Did the IIC coordinate needed post-accident research and analysis with all support departments and independent outside agencies? Did the IIC arrange for providing specialized technical support within the respective discipline(s) and/or departments?																																							
11	Off-Scene Vehicle Investigation	 Did the IIC arrange to conduct and/or document post-accident inspections/tests on vehicles as needed to determine if pre-existing conditions contributed to the accident? Applicable components to be tested may include, as a minimum, the following: (1) operator controls, (2) wheels/axles/trucks/sanders, (3) braking systems friction, electric (dynamic), track, (4) on-board signal/speed control systems, (5) communication system, (6) lights, and (7) whistle/horn/gong. Were all applicable engineering specifications and drawings obtained to support the investigation? Was research performed on the prior maintenance history of vehicle(s) or component(s) involved in the accident to determine if any significant conditions or performance levels existed prior to the accident? 																																							



RE	EVIEWER:	DATE:				
NO.	CHECKLIST	SSPS REQUIREMENTS	INCI	LUDED	PAGE	COMMENTS
			Υ	N	REF.	
		 Were RTA procedures appropriately followed in accessing this information? Was systems performance data (inspections/tests, maintenance history) compared with prescribed engineering limits/specifications to determine if there were any contributing factors to the accident? Were vehicle damage and repair costs verified? Was event log data recovered from the vehicle to determine actual vehicle performance prior to and at the time of the event? Was recorded radio or other communication data recovered to determine if flow of information was of significance? 				
12	Off-Scene Infrastructure Investigation	 Were timely post-accident inspections/tests conducted on infrastructure to determine if pre-existing conditions contributed to the accident? This activity might include tests for: (1) track structure, (2) traction power system, (3) signal systems, (4)routing systems, (5) buildings and other structures, (6) bridges, (7) grade crossing protection apparatus, and (8) other equipment or machinery. Was data recovered from any off-vehicle event recorders such as signal system event recorders or other software driven records systems? Was the prior maintenance history of all systems involved in the accident researched to determine if any conditions/performance levels existed prior to the accident? Were designed RTA procedures followed in accessing this information? 				



RE	EVIEWER:	DATE:				
NO.	CHECKLIST	SSPS REQUIREMENTS	INCLUDED		PAGE	COMMENTS
			Υ	N	REF.	
		 Was system performance data (inspections/tests, maintenance history) compared against prescribed engineering limits/specifications to determine if there were any contributing factors to the accident? Were infrastructure damage and repair costs verified? 				
13	Off Scene Operating Procedures/Instructions Investigation	 Were all applicable operating instructions identified for the location of the accident? These include, but are not limited to, the following: (1) maximum authorized speed and speed restrictions, (2) operating signs and locations, (3) wayside signal locations and aspects capable of being displayed, (4) bulletins or other special operating orders in effect at time of accident, (5) automatic signal systems in effect (train control, cab signals, interlockings, automatic block, etc.), and (6) any special operating conditions. Were applicable federal and state rules/regulations obtained and researched to determine compliance and effect on accident dynamics? As applicable, these should include, at a minimum, the following: (1) motor vehicle code, (2) operating standards and practices, (3) equipment standards, (4) qualification/certification level requirements, (5) inspection/maintenance standards, and (6) safety standards and practices. 				
14	Off-Scene Interviews	Were detailed face-to-face interviews conducted as needed to determine sequence of events leading up to and at time of the accident? If possible, these interviews should have been tape recorded and supported by a signature from interviewee attesting to the accuracy of the statement.				



RI	EVIEWER:	DATE:				
NO.	CHECKLIST	SSPS REQUIREMENTS	INC	LUDED	PAGE	COMMENTS
			Υ	N	REF.	
		 At a minimum, did interviews include: crewmembers, other employees directly or indirectly involved in the sequence of events, non-employee accident principals, passengers, and bystander witnesses? Was interview data obtained from other independent sources (i.e., law enforcement)? Were applicable reports obtained from operators and supervisors for the investigation? Were other applicable reports of investigation obtained from outside agencies and law enforcement? 				
15	Off-Scene Employee Records Review	 Were employee records researched for performance history or incidents relating to accident dynamics? These records should include, but are not limited to, the following: (1) operating and safety practices compliance, (2) qualification/certification levels and experience, (3) training and continuing education history, (4) accident/incident history, (5) toxicological and medical history, and (6) attendance/discipline history. Were employee hours of service before the accident researched and documented? This should include the following: (1) time employee reported for duty, (2) elapsed time from on-duty time until time of accident, (3) break periods before accident, (4) available off-duty hours before reporting for assignment, (5) number of consecutive days worked prior to day of accident, and (6) nature of off-duty activity prior to accident. Was the employee's fitness for duty researched and documented? This should include the following: (1) visual acuity, (2) pre-existing medical conditions, and (3) 				



REVIEWER:					DATE:							
NO.	CHECKLIST	SSPS REQUIREMENTS	INCLUDED		INCLUDED		INCLUDED		INCLUDED		PAGE	COMMENTS
			Υ	N	REF.							
		 consumption of prescription/non-prescription medication. Were all aspects of employee performance considered comparative to operating conditions, vehicle and infrastructure conditions, and human physical limitations? 										
16	Off-Scene Casualty Investigation	 Were hospitals contacted to verify casualties? Was the following information obtained: number of casualties, identities of casualties, and nature/severity of casualties (injuries vs. fatalities (include Medical Examiner reports)? 										
17	Off-Scene Trespasser Investigation	 Was additional research conducted for trespasser events? Did the RTA investigate reports prepared by law enforcement agencies related to indications of suicide or foul play? Did the RTA obtain and review Medical Examiner toxicological reports? Comparison of research data to event log and communication data to determine performance level? 										
18	Analysis of Collected Investigation Information	 Did the RTA IIC document vehicle, infrastructure, or operating conditions that could have contributed to casualties, or increased the severity of it? Did the RTA IIC obtain results of post-accident toxicological testing? Did the RTA IIC obtain determination of toxicological significance, if available? As considered relevant, did the RTA IIC reconstruct the accident dynamics and sequence of events based upon all 										



REVIEWER:					DATE:								
NO.	CHECKLIST	SSPS REQUIREMENTS	INCLUDED		INCLUDED		INCLUDED		INCLUDED	INCLUDED	INCLUDED	PAGE	COMMENTS
			Υ	N	REF.								
		 data from on-site investigation and off-site research? Did the RTA IIC establish facts that were contributory to the accident? Did RTA IIC fact-finding identify the following: actual vehicle performance, actual infrastructure performance, actual employee performance, performance data, or mathematical calculations to determine vehicle speeds and/or impacts, scale drawings/diagrams, and photographic evidence? Once the readily obtainable information for the investigation was assembled, did the IIC ensure that all existing evidence was evaluated prior to making a general determination as to the contributing factors and probable cause of the accident? In determining the contributing factors and probable cause of the accident, is it clear that the RTA IIC and/or the RTA accident team reviewed the following: initial accident report, operator and supervisor reports, interview reports, technical reports (vehicle, infrastructure, other), outside agency reports, data contained on employee records, handwritten statements, event log data, radio/communication tapes and/or transcripts, maps, drawings, or diagrams, and photographs or videos? 											
19	Investigation Report and Corrective Action Plan	 Did the RTA IIC prepare a draft report detailing the data and analysis to support a determination of cause and recommended corrective action, where needed? Was the draft report completed within the timeframe specified by the DRPT (SSO) and the RTA SSPP and Accident Investigation Procedure? Did the draft report contain the following sections: 											



REVIEWER:						DATE:
NO.	CHECKLIST	SSPS REQUIREMENTS	INCLUDED			COMMENTS
			Υ	N	REF.	
		 Executive Summary, Sequence of Events, (prior to the accident/incident, the accident/incident, subsequent to the accident/incident), Findings/Analysis, Conclusions, Probable Cause, Contributory Causes, and Recommendations? Did the RTA IIC and/or RTA accident team coordinate with affected departments to draft a corrective action plan for implementing recommendations specified in the draft accident investigation report? Did the RTA prepare a corrective action plan for all recommendations developed following an accident/incident investigation? Did the RTA corrective action plan contain the following information: activity to meet objectives of the plan, responsible department/individual for plan implementation and task activity, scheduled completion dates, estimated cost, required follow-up, process to ensure that recommendation is implemented, and process to ensure that recommendation does not result in other safety issues? Did the RTA prepare an internal status report of corrective action plan activity and completion status? Did the RTA provide this report to the senior manager of each part of the RTA organization responsible for implementation of the corrective action? Did the RTA schedule a follow-up review to check that the corrective actions have been implemented? Does the RTA have a verification process in place to ensure departments and/or individuals designated as the responsible party for specific action plan objectives have completed the assigned tasks? 				



REVIEWER:						DATE:
NO.	CHECKLIST	SSPS REQUIREMENTS	INCLUDED		PAGE	COMMENTS
			Υ	N	REF.	
20	Protocol for Maintaining Evidence	 For this investigation, did the RTA establish a protocol to retain, secure, and store physical evidence and documentation developed pursuant to investigations for future criminal, tort, or other action? Issues that may be addressed include: (1) chain of custody procedure, (2) validation of photographs/videotapes and control center tapes, (3) physical evidence retention procedure, and (4) procedure for destructive/non-destructive testing. Has the RTA established a system for archiving and indexing the evidence collected for the investigation? 				
21	Evaluation of Emergency Response to Accident	 Did the RTA conduct an after action briefing on the RTA's emergency response to the accident? Did the RTA prepare a formal after action report documenting its response to the accident? Was the after action report made available to the DRPT (SSO) as part of the accident investigation report or as another submission? 				